

**RESOLUTION A36-11 - PROFICIENCY IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE USED FOR
RADIOTELEPHONY COMMUNICATION**

A36-11:	Proficiency in the English language used for radiotelephony communications
----------------	---

Whereas to prevent accidents, ICAO introduced language provisions to ensure that air traffic personnel and pilots are proficient in conducting and comprehending radiotelephony communications in the English language, including requirements that the English language shall be available on request at all stations on the ground serving designated airports and routes used by international air services;

Recognizing that the language provisions reinforce the requirement to use ICAO standardized phraseology in all situations for which it has been specified;

Recognizing that Contracting States have made substantial efforts to comply with the language proficiency requirements by 5 March 2008;

Recognizing that some Contracting States encounter considerable difficulties in implementing the language proficiency requirements including the establishment of language training and testing capabilities;

Recognizing that some Contracting States will require additional time to implement the language proficiency provisions beyond the applicability date;

Whereas in accordance with Article 38 of the Convention any Contracting State which finds it impracticable to comply in all respects with any international standard or procedure is obliged to give immediate notification to ICAO;

Whereas in accordance with Article 39 b) of the Convention any person holding a license not satisfying in full the conditions laid down in the international standard relating to the class of license or certificate held, shall have endorsed on or attached to the license all the particulars in which this person does not satisfy such conditions; and

Whereas pursuant to Article 40 of the Convention no personnel having certificates or licences so endorsed shall participate in international navigation, except with the permission of the State or States whose territory is entered;

The Assembly:

1. *Urges* the Contracting States to use ICAO standardized phraseology in all situations for which it has been specified;
2. *Directs* the Council to support Contracting States in their implementation of the language proficiency requirements by establishing globally harmonized language testing criteria;

3. *Urges* Contracting States that are not in a position to comply with the language proficiency requirement by the applicability date to post their language proficiency implementation plans including their interim measures to mitigate risk, as required, for pilots, air traffic controllers and aeronautical station operators involved in international operations on the ICAO website as outlined in accordance with the Associated Practices below and ICAO guidance material;
4. *Directs* the Council to provide guidelines to States on the development of implementation plans, including an explanation of the risk mitigation measures so as to enable Contracting States to post their plans as soon as practicable, but prior to 5 March 2008;
5. *Urges* Contracting States to waive the permission requirement under Article 40 of the Convention, in the airspace under their jurisdiction for pilots who do not yet meet the ICAO language proficiency requirements, for a period not exceeding three years after the applicability date of 5 March 2008, provided that the States which issued or rendered valid the licences have made their implementation plans available to all other Contracting States;
6. *Urges* Contracting States not to restrict their operators, conducting commercial or general aviation operations, from entering the airspace under the jurisdiction or responsibility of other States where air traffic controllers or radio station operators do not yet meet the language proficiency requirements for a period not exceeding three years after the applicability date of 5 March 2008, provided that those States have made their implementation plans available to all other Contracting States;
7. *Urges* Contracting States to provide data concerning their level of implementation of the Language Proficiency Requirements when requested by ICAO;
8. *Requests* the Council to submit to the next ordinary session of the Assembly a report regarding the implementation of the ICAO language proficiency requirements; and
9. *Declares* that this resolution supersedes Resolution A32-16.

Associated Practices

Contracting States that are not able to meet the language proficiency requirements by 5 March 2008 should:

1. Develop implementation plans for the language proficiency requirements that include the following:
 - a) a timeline for adoption of the language proficiency requirements in their national regulations;
 - b) a timeline for establishment of language training and assessment capabilities;
 - c) a description of a risk based prioritization system for the interim measures to be put in place until full compliance with the language proficiency requirements is achieved;
 - d) a procedure for endorsing licences to indicate the holders' language proficiency level; and
 - e) designation of a national focal point in relation to the English language proficiency implementation plan;

2. Make their language proficiency implementation plans available to all other Contracting States by posting their plans on the ICAO website as soon as practicable, but prior to 5 March 2008;
3. Notify ICAO of differences to the language proficiency Standards and Recommended Practices; and
4. Publish differences to the language proficiency requirements in relation to the provision of air navigation services in their Aeronautical Information Publications.

— — — — —